1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
8	* * *	
9	BRIAN REED,)
10	Plaintiff,) 3:09-CV-0328-LRH-RAM
11	v.)) ORDER
12	AMCO INSURANCE COMPANY,) <u>ORDER</u>)
13	Defendant.))
14		_
15	Before the court is plaintiff Brian Reed's ("Reed") motion for clarification of the court's	
16	June 3, 2011 order granting in-part and denying in-part his motion for partial summary judgment	
17	on defendant AMCO Insurance Company's ("AMCO") affirmative defenses (Doc. #112¹).	
18	Doc. #116.	
19	On June 3, 2011, the court entered an order granting in-part and denying in-part Reed's	
20	motion for partial summary judgment on defendant AMCO's affirmative defenses. Doc. #112. In	
21	that order, the court granted judgment in favor of Reed on all affirmative defenses that were not	
22	contested by AMCO. <i>Id.</i> However, due to a clerical error, the court's order did not dismiss	
23	AMCO's uncontested thirty-seventh (37) affirmative defense. See Id. Therefore, the court shall	
24	grant Reed's motion for clarification and dismiss AMCO's thirty-seventh affirmative defense in	
25		
26	il	

¹ Refers to the court's docket number.

1	accordance with the court's June 3, 2011 order.	
2		
3	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for clarification (Doc. #116) is	
4	GRANTED. Defendant's thirty-seventh (37) affirmative defense is DISMISSED from its answer to	
5	plaintiff's amended complaint (Doc. #21).	
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
7	DATED this 19th day of December, 2011.	
8	Jane	
9	LARRY R. HICKS	
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

Case 3:09-cv-00328-LRH-WGC Document 226 Filed 12/20/11 Page 2 of 2